G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,C00—20 12 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1894-95
~~191~~.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 2

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month_____

SUBJECT.

Fixing a scale of expenditure in the Sri Rameswara Devaru temple at Tirthahalli.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES
	7879 & 1 V

2 & 1894-1

Mez. 8] 190

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

Subject.

Re revision of Establishment &c charges in the Sri Rameswara Devaru temple at Mesthakalli.

From

The Dy Commr, Shimoga Dt

No 829/60 Dated 18th March 1896.

The Dy Commr reports, after a personal inspection, that the management of the Sri Rameswara Devaru temple at Virthakalli was entrusted entirely to the Dharmadarsi, that expenditure was being incurred on a scale not sanctioned by Govt. Being an important institution the Dy Commr has submitted a scale of Establishment & other charges & solicits sanction thereto.

From the comparative

File No. of Mu rai Secretariat.

It is observed that the total Receipts of the temple are Rs 1960 per annum. The annual expenditure is Rs 1345 and the proposed expenditure is Rs 1476. An excess of Rs 131 is asked for

The institution was inspected by the Amjoint Secretary on the 6th January 1906.

Submitted

14/3/06

The institution is an important one and the revised scale proposed may, it is subd, be sanctioned.

K Bhaskara Sama Rao
14/3/06

Subd to the Dewan through the 2nd Sr

15.3.06

Recd sanctioned 11978
17.3.06

16/3/06

11977

Recd
18.III.06

20/3/06

Recd
20/3/06

GPB -2,000—13-2 05

Recd 20/3/06

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190
	Note Continued —
4351-2 Dy 30th March 1906	As directed, the Govt order was issued fixing a scale of expenditure in the Sri Rameswara devasthanam temple at Theerthapalli.
C 108/18 Dy 17th August 1907	The Deputy Comr reports that in giving effect to the Govt order, the question of the appointment of Yaju Veda Upadhi was referred to the temple Panchayetdars, who selected the former officiator Subbraya Sastri (the present petitioner), that the then Amildar Mr K Srinivasa Rao differing from the opinion of the Panchayetdars, recommended one Chenna Kesava Bhatta who was rendering occasional services of Atharvana Veda Upadhi (which service was dispensed with) and that the then Dy Comr, Mr M.S. Narayana Rao, on an

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

by the Amildar. He further states that Subbaraya Sastri has put in an application for review of the order passed by his predecessor as he was deprived of his hereditary Archa, that on going through the records ~~that he~~ he is of opinion that the Petitioner Subbaraya Sastri is entitled to hold the Archa of Yajurveda Upadhi in the Temple on the new scale in preference to Channa-Kesava Pillai and that he is not competent to review the orders passed by his predecessor and submits Subbaraya Sastri's petition for the orders of

Submitted for orders
W 27 8 07

The proposal is to throw out a man who has been already appointed and who has been doing duty for a little more than a year.
What are the relative claims of

Muzrai Secretariat.

File No. of 190

the two candidates? How long has each of them served in the temple and on what pay?

Is this info available in the records received? If not, call for it.

28/8/07

Cmd

File No. of 190 Munrai Secretariat.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893

41

From The Deputy Commr
Chingleput

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan
of M, cre.

Judicial Branch

Dated 17 July

Despatched

Received 20-7-93

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 1.6

No. 4

Forwards for information copy of correspondence regarding the receipt and expenditure of the Rameshwaram Feuds in the Tirthalli Taluk & requests to obtain orders of Govt. for regulating the future expenditure of the temple.

Register & Old References
cited as
6/7/83

Shimoga
Dy Commrs Office
Shimoga 17th July 1893.

To

The Munshy Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for information copy of the Office letter (with enclosures) noted on the margin, and an extract of the letter of this date with a list showing the receipt and expenditure of the Rameshwara Temple in the Tirthalli Town for the 15 months ending 30th June 1892 and trust that you will be good enough to obtain orders of Government regulating the future expenditure of the Temple according to its present requirements.

2. All the previous correspondence connected with the subject is in the Chief Secretary

Office

+
C. 117/106 of 25th May 1889.

office which you may refer to
if necessary.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Robert Robinson, K Br.
Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District
Deputy Commrs Office
Shimoga 22d May 1889.

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to the notice of Government that though it is now 10 years since the passing of the proceedings of the Chief Commissioner No. 3362-73 dated 27th September 1871 No. 161 abolishing the separate levy of Nokatarfa and Municipal tax on houses situated in Municipalities the old order of things still continues in Tirthalli and has formed the subject of complaints to me since 1887.

2. The difficulty in introducing the new system has arisen from the Rameshwar Dewar temple being declared entitled to the Nokatarfa revenue of the village of Padavanhalli included

the limits of Jirthalli town, and also from the claims set up by Subrayan Agrahardars and by the Puttige Matt to Mohatarfa in the village of Kuravalli also included within the town of Jirthalli. There was nothing to prevent the new system being adopted in the villages of Jirthalli and Melnagi the two remaining villages that went to form the Jirthalli town, but pending the decision of the question of Mohatarfa in the larger components of Padavanhalli and Kuravalli, the old system would appear to have been maintained in the first named villages also.

3. Under proceedings of the Dewan No 1110/79 dated 4th May 1882 marked H in red ink in the Memorandum herewith submitted the Agrahardars and the Matt have been declared not entitled to the Mohatarfa of Kuravalli.

there remains only the question of extending the new system to the village of Pada vanballi, which, if solved, would clear the way for the Municipality being placed on the same footing as the other Municipalities in the Province -

4- When last at Jaffnalli I had repeated conferences with the townspeople and with the Panchayetdars of the Temple and spoke to them about the anomalous state of things in Jaffnalli - I explained to the Panchayetdars that they stood in the position of Government - as regards Vannarpa and as their village happened to be situated within Municipal limits, they ought properly to contribute to the cost of the Conservancy of the town The majority of the townspeople were for the house tax being assessed under the new system The Panchayetdars of the "

on the other hand, contended laying the houses on valuation would result in loss to them, and if in addition they had to contribute from their reduced Mohatarfa to Municipal charges, their revenue would be seriously diminished. After further discussion they felt convinced of the unfairness of subjecting the houses in Virthalli Municipality to two separate taxes and agreed to one consolidated rate being adopted and to allot 1/4th of the Mohatarfa realizations of Padavanhalli to the Municipal fund. I tried to persuade them to agree to 1/3rd being assigned to the Municipality. They urged, and with reason, that even 1/4th of the total Mohatarfa would lessen their income by Rs 264-6- and put them to the necessity of curtailing expenditure all round

5- From Statement C, it will

be seen that the expenditure of the temple during 1887-88 was Rs 967-6-8 and the income from Mohatarfa was Rs 1032 whereas under the proposed arrangement 3/4 ths of the taxes will give the Devasthan only 767-10-0 and thus the temple will have to meet a deficit of 199-12-8 under this head of income.

6. I would therefore recommend that the offer of the Panchayatdars to allow 1/4 th of the collections under the new system to be utilized for Municipal purposes be accepted.

7. I may note here that some of the Panchayatdars of the temple are also Municipal Commissioners, and are as much interested in the prosperity of the Municipality as in the temple. The temple itself is under Mirasdar management and is officer responsible

being of the temple, I have given much thought to the subject and consider the proportion fixed as very fair to both institutions under present circumstances.

9. It will be seen from statement marked D that under the new system the Government the temple and the Municipality all suffer in their income but the people get appreciable relief in taxation, the amount remitted being Rs 345/- the collections under the heads Mohatarfa and Municipal house tax under the present system being Rs 1601-0-0 and under the new system Rs 1256-. The Municipal income, however, suffers only to the extent of Rs 59 and this can be easily made good by levying octroi on some articles such as oil, sheep, fish imported into the town. The town is a rising one and the octroi will not be much felt by the people, and will not materially interfere

with bride -

9- In Chief Commissioners proceedings No 5216-17 / Pol. 259 dated 8th January 1880 marked I in the Memo, the present system was ordered to be continued and information was called for on certain points - This information was supplied in this Offic letter No 210 dated 26th July 1880 marked J in the Memo.

10- I consider the arrangement now proposed is equitable to all the interests concerned and gives appreciable relief to the residents of the Municipality and makes the taxation in the town of Tirhalle uniform with that obtaining in the other towns of the Province and further meets the views of the Chief Commissioner Conveyed in his proceedings No 5216-17 / Pol. 259 dated 8th January 1880 marked I in red ink in the Memo.

below: —

" The levy of a separate house
tax for Municipal purposes in
addition to the Mohatarfa
levied by the Inamdar cannot
but prove needlessly oppressive.
If the Inamdar is entitled
to collect a house tax as dis-
tinct from a mere ground
" rent, it will be but fair to
" make him bear the expenses
" of Municipal Conservancy "

1. Vernacular papers as
per Terust are herewith submitted.

Shane Sea.
S/o V. P. Madhava Rao
By Commissioner
Shimoga District

" Copy "

Abdul Rahman, A. B.
By Commissioner

Abstract statement shewing the existing and proposed taxes on houses in the four villages of the Trithalli Town Municipality.

No.	No. of houses	Village	Tax at present levied: Municipal House tax		Inchatar: Tax on houses		Total		Valuation of houses and tax fixed by the Panchayatdars: Total valuation amount of houses	Deduct value of sheds forming part of houses	Net value after deduction	Proposed Inchatanga tax on houses according to new rules	
	354	Padavanhally	316	6	586		904	6	109437	18530	90907	577	8
	98	Trithalli	48	6	43		91	6	5856	855	5001	53	
	24	Inalamage	3				3		246		246	4	
	94	Kurnvally	63	6	14		77	6	15725	2710	13015	96	8
	570	Total	433	2	643		1076	2	131264	22093	109169	731	

Signed / V. S. Madhava Rao
Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga
7th May 1869

Copy"
K. B

ment showing all the taxes now levied in the four villages with all Town Municipality

Villages	Taxes now being collected.								Taxes now proposed to be imposed.			
	2 Wet land tax on houses	3 Dry land tax on shops	4 Profession tax on earnings	5 Total	6 Municipality Cash	Grand Total	Total Col. 8 and 9	Above tax to be compounded to new rates on valuation	Above Cash	Land Cash	Total
									10	11	12
adavanhalli	546	416	26	1032	316	1350	046	577	41	26	023 8
Thithalli	43	39	6	88	48	136	91	53	39	6	98
malmage					3	3	3	4			4
Thavavalli	14	32	2	46	63	111	77	96	32	2	130
Total	643	489	36	116	4332	1601 2	1076 2	731	489	36	1256

Signed V. P. Madhava Rao
Deputy Commissioner

Copy

Shimoga
Dec 1889

K. B.

2DA.

Statement showing the contributions or proportionate amount to be paid to Government to the Tirthalli Rameshwara Devasthan and to the ability out of the total collections under the present system and under arrangement.

Villages	Total collections of last under		Amount to be paid to Govt.		to be paid to Muni		Remarks
	Present system	Proposed arrangement	Present system	Proposed arrangement	Present	Proposed	
	1350	1023	1032 ×	767 ×	318 6	255 14	× Paid to Tirthalli
	136	98	88	49	48	49	Rameshwara Deva Temple.
ages	3	4			3	4	
	111 6	130 8	48	65	63 6	65 4	
Total	1601 2	1256	136 ·X·	114 4 ·X·	433 2	374 2	·X· Excludes figures for Padavanhalli

sd/ V. P. Madhava Rao.
by Commissioner

True Copy
Khan Bahadur. K.B.E.

Abstract shewing the Inchaturpa of the Padavanhalli village - to thereto collected by the Rameshwar Devar temple and the amount to be levied according to the new arrangement.

Collection of Revenue under the new system			Inchaturpa of Devasthan during 1867-68	Decrease of Revenue under the new system
	Total	Remaining to be paid to the Municipality for the Devas		
1032	1023	254	767	0	967	6	264

Shimoga
22nd March 1869

Signed / V. C. Madhava Rao.
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Copy"

H. Rice K. B.
Deputy Commissioner

Account of Income & Expenditure of the Province
for Elementary Training for 1857-58

Particulars	Amount		
1. Sodi paid to Government on the Inchaturfa Estate	93	3	2
2 Establishment charges	120	.	.
Daily expenses of ceremonies	124	2	.
Ditto (special)	333	12	6
Total	671	6	8

Examined & Audited
Accounts

Examined & Audited
Accounts

H. Thomson K.C.
Secretary Commissioners

Shimoga District
Dy Commr Office
Shimoga 17th July 1893

No.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir

× × ×

10. The most important feature in the Revision recently effected is the abolition of the separate tax called "Kachara Sheriff" on Houses amounting to Rs 412-8-0 in the last year, and substitution of a single consolidated Tax with due regard to the requirements of the Municipality as well as of the temple which hitherto received in the Inam portion of the Town — the whole of the Mohatarfa Tax the Municipality receiving the small Kachara Sheriff on the same houses since

1865-

11. Thus additional I was most obnoxious and had to be levied for the ordinary conservancy Dea. of the Town since the question of the Temple contributing a sufficient sum to the Municipality has not yet been decided.

12. The question was for the last time submitted for the orders of Government in 1889 by Rao Bahadur Rao B.A, the then Dy Commissioner who with the consent of the local Panchayat under the Munzoy management proposed that to the temple might be paid 1/4 of the Mohatarfa paid to the Municipality. +

+ Vide his letter Dis. 117/106 of the 22nd

13. With deference to his proposal I regret considerably to be compelled to differ from him and to state that under the circumstances, the Temple which stands in the position

of Government ought to meet all the charges of the Municipality or contribute at least one half of the Mohatarfa it receives.

14. Though the Mohatarfa improved considerably consequent on the improvement of the Municipal Town, the whole of the Mohatarfa in the Inam portion going to the temple for more than a quarter of a century has proved highly detrimental to the Municipality and it is but fair that the question long pending should be decided once for all in accordance with the Common rule of paying half the Mohatarfa to Government and the other half of the Municipality.

15. Previous to 1861-62 the Mohatarfa collected never exceeded Rs 46-3-8, the old Berij

16. In 1860-61

Rs 44-10-0 of which Jodi of Rs 26-15-1 was paid to Government leaving a balance of 17-11-9 for the temple.

17. The Mohatarfa received by the temple last year amounts to Rs 1021 while it amounted to Rs 1032 in 1889 when the Panchayat consented to pay one-fourth thereof viz. Rs 258 to the Municipality leaving Rs 774 for the temple.

18. It is important to note that according to the provision the Mohatarfa of the Inam portion amounts to 1476-8-0 and one-half thereof is very nearly as much as what the Panchayat then wanted to be left for the temple.

19. It may thus be seen that to receive one half from the Municipality is in no way

unpaid to the temple and the contention of the Panchayat that not more than one-fourth shall still be received from the Municipality is exceedingly unfair.

20. Before the constitution of the Panchayat in 1870 there was only an Archika for the management of the affairs of the temple. Since the Panchayat was formed it raised the establishment from time to time and it stands as follows:

1 Archik per mensem	Rs 4-0-0
1 Paricharika	" 4-0-0
1 Odiga (Peon)	" 5-0-0
Bhajanthries	" 5-0-0
1 Shanbhogue	" 6-0-0
Sanskrit School Master	" 8-0-0

21. The Bhajanthries (barbers) as admitted by a Member of the Panchayat were introduced

chiefly for the service the Mal-
vaad Town. It appears that there
were no permanent barbers
in Vathalli before, and those
who visited occasionally had
to be paid higher wages. The
Panchayat evidently increased
the expenditure, for it had plenty
of money at Command.

22. Not to say anything
of the other items of expenditure
which may admit of reduction
it is obvious that the Shanbhog
and peon may at once be dis-
pensed with, the town Shanbhog
and Patel doing the work as
elsewhere.

23. I have directed the Amil
dar to credit one-fourth of the
Mokhatapa of the Inam portion
to the Municipality and hold
another fourth in deposit leaving
the remaining half to the Temple
pending final settlement of the

question by Government and tru that it may be determined as early as practicable.

24. I have further directed the Amildar to credit the Mohatarfa of the Sirkar portion of the town in equal parts to the Government and the Municipality as this question appears to have got mixed up with the first question detailed above and remained undisposed of although there was nothing to prevent its settlement then and there.

25. I have sent a copy of Mr Madhava Raos letter with enclosures and an extract of this letter (paras 10 to 26) together with a list of the receipts and expenditure of the Temple for the 15 months ended 30th June 1892 for the information of the Secretary, Mugwoy Department.

No. 117 of 22nd May 1889.

who, I hope, will be able to agree with my proposal to pay half the Mohatarfa to the Municipality and to regulate the future expenditure of the temple according to its present requirements.

26. I am not at all for curtailing the expenditure of the temple in any way undesirable, but I simply mean to do away with the useless and unnecessary expenditure for the good of the temple itself.

I have the
honour Abdul Rahman, K. B.r
Deputy Commissioner

Extract

Abdul Rahman K B.r
Dy Commissioner

Bill

shown the Receipts and Expenditure
the Tirthalli Rameshwara Temple for 15 months
ag. 30th June 1892.

Receipts for the year Khara		Expenditure for the year Khara for 15 months	
Description	Amount	Description	
Land Revenue and Nagadi Jasdik — Mohatarfa including previous year's balance —	348 5 1	1 Establishment	579 8 -
	1228 - -	2. Sundry expenses at the Temple —	122 - 7
		3. Expenses during car festival &c —	400 10 9
Other Receipts —	298 9 8 4	Kandayam for lands —	217 1 9
		Total	1259 5 -
Total	1874 14 9		

A list in detail will follow.

Sumoga
17th July 1893

Abdul Rahiman K B
By Commissioner

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893 267

From The Commissioner Trichinopoly District

To The Private Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 23d. September 93

Despatched

Received 25-9-93

Enclosures No. 4 & Nil received

General No. 307

No. 24

In continuation of this office letter No. $\frac{46}{34}$ dt. 17th July last forwards the marginally noted lists of Receipts and Expenditure of the Tirthalli Rameswara Devasthanam Temple

1 List showing the Jamabandy of the Temple for the ending 30th June 92

3 Lists showing details of the Expenditure of the Temple aggregating Rs 1259-4-0

the Same No $\frac{23}{2.04}$ dt 12/1/94

1893

From

The Dy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To The Munshi Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dy 23d. September 93

No 307/14 on 4 d Rec: Records.

In Continuation of this Office letter No 46/34 dated 17th July last, I have the honor to forward the marginally noted lists shewing the Receipts and Expenditure of the Virbhadrasvami Rame - Devaru Temple.

Abdul Rahiman, KBr
Dy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist

Showing the pay disbursed to the servants of the Temple of Sri Rameshwara Devaru in the Thalli Taluk for the year 1891-92 Khurdi (from April 1891 to the end of June 1892 i.e 15 months)

Particulars	Items		Total		Remarks
Pay for the month of April 1891 as follows					
Archik Balali Bramn Bhatta					
at 4 Rs per mensem	4	..			
Parichark Balali Krishna Bhatta					
at Rs 4 per mensem	4	..			
Mariyachari Sanskrit School					
Master at Rs 8 per mensem	8	..			
Munday Subba Rao at Rs 6 per					
mensem	6	..			
Siddu at Rs 5 per mensem	5	..			
Bijantri Hanmanth and 4					
others at Rs 5 per month	5	..			
Kavari Sweeper at Rs 2/p per mon	2	8			
Total for the month of April 1891			34	8	
Pay for the month of May					
1891 as per above details			34	8	
Do do June 1891			34	8	
Do do July 1891			34	8	
Do do August 1891			34	8	
Do do September 1891			34	8	
Do do October 1891			34	8	
Do do November 1891			34	8	
Do do December 1891			34	8	
Do do January 1892			34	8	
Do do February 1892			34	8	

Pay for the month of March 1892 as per above details	36	8	.
Do — do for April 1892 —	34	8	.
Do — do — May 1892 —	34	8	.
Do — do — June 1892 —	34	8	.
Total for the year 1891-92 (15 months) —	519	8	-

Rupees Five hundred and nineteen and annas eight only

Shimoga
September
1893

Abdul Rahiman K.B.
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt.

1961.

List showing the expenditure incurred on ordinary days in the Temple of Sree Rameshwara Swamy for the year 1891-92 Khara (from 1st April 1891 to the 30th of June 15 months) in the Tirthalli Taluk.

No.	Particulars	Items		Total		Remarks
Ordinary	Expenditure for the month of April 1891					
—	Gingelly oil for Nanda Deepa	1	5			
—	Camphor 1 seer	—	6			
—	Betel leaves 120	—	—	8		
	Plantains 120	"	2	—		
	Cocoanut 15	"	1	6		
	Panchamrita	1	—	—		
	Articles for Swarathri feast	"	8	—		
	Articles for Rudrabhisheka	"	8	—		
	Total expenditure for the month of April 1891	—	—	4	5	2
	Expenditure for the month of May/91					
	As per details above			4	5	2
	Do for June/91 as per details in Vernacular list attached			4	11	2
	Do — for July 1891			6	5	2
	Do — for August 1891			3	1	9
	Do — for September 1891			4	5	2
	Do — for October 1891			4	7	2
	Do — for November 1891			4	5	2
	Do — for December 1891			3	1	8
	Do — for January 1892			4	5	2
	Do — for February 1892			3	4	2

No.	Particulars	Items	Remarks
	Expenditure for the months of March/92 as per Vernacular list attached —		46 8 2
	Expenditure for the months of April/92 as per Vernacular list attached —		19 5 2
	Do — for May 1892 —		4 5 2
	Do — for June/92 —		5 5 2
	Total expenditure for the year 1891-92 (15 months i.e from 31st April 1891 to 30th June/92 —		122 7
	Kandayam paid to Government annually —		217 1 8
		Total Rupees —	339 2 3

Rupees three hundred and thirty nine, annas two and pies three only.

Shimoga
23rd September
1893

By Commissioner

ing the total jamabandy for the year 1891-
1st April 91 to the end of June 92 i.e. 15 months
of Sri Rameshwara Devaru in the Town of Tirthalli
Tirthalli Taluk -

No.	Particulars	Items			Total		Re
	Land Revenue						
	Dry Land Revenue	301	9	3			
	Nagadi Jasdik	46	11	10			
	Total Land Revenue				348	5	1
	Mohalarpa	1228	.	.	1228		
	Sundries						
	Fees collected in Sanskrit School	11	4	.			
	Police fees	3	.	.			
	Offers given by volaries	133	13	8			
	Interest on Railway debenture Loan	150	.	.			
	Stamps	4	8	-			
	Total Sundries				298	9	8
	Total Jamabandy for the year 1891-92 (Khara)				1874	4	9

Rupees one thousand eight-hundred and seventy four, annas fourteen and pies nine only

Shimoga
23rd September
1893

Abdul Rahiman K Bx
Dy Commissioner

Special charges in the Temple of Sri Ranganathaswamy
Revenue for the Year 1891-92 Khanda
from 1st April 1891 to the 30th June 1892 (i.e
15 months) in the Srirangam Taluk

Particulars	Items		Total		Remarks
Special expenditure for the month of April 1891 as per details in the vernacular list attached			6	12 -	
Do do for the month of May 91			15	11 7	
do do do June 1891			-	-	
do do July 1891			-	-	
do do August 1891			10	1 8	
do do September 1891			-	12 4	
do do October 1891			1	1 4	
do do November 1891			53	- 4	
do do December 1891			236	12 4	
do do January 1892			-	12 -	
do do February 1892			11	14 5	
do do March 1892			13	6 6	
do do April 1892			24	1 5	
do do for May 1892			24	3 10	
do do for June 1892			-	- -	
Total extraordinary expenditure for the Year 1891-92 / 15 months from 1st April 1891 to 30th June 1892)			400	9 9	

Rupees four hundred, annas nine and pies nine only.

Srirangam
23rd September 1893

Asst Chairman

Gen. Serial No. 30.

4

1894.

MUZARAI.

From

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

To

The Dy Com.r
Shimoga Dt

Dated 12th July 94

Despatched 13th

Received

Enclosures No. per Records.

General No. 23 R
Muzarai F. No. 2-94

Ltr No. 46/34 dy 17th July 1893 re the revision of the establishments and other expenditure in the Rama devaru Temple at Thirthahalli

Adverting to the above, the Dy Com.r

changeddum
and to submits
for the orders of
Govt definite
proposals regarding
the revision of the
temple establish-
ment

3. A very early
reply is requested

4. The Ver. records
are herewith ret.d
~~forwarded for~~
~~reference~~

By order
[illegible]
Secy

is requested to report
how far the income
of the temple will
be affected by the
payment to the
local Municipality
of 1/4 of the Inha-
dara collected
in the Inam portion
of the Municipality
as directed in Govt
Pro No. 18646-7. 07
L. 7161-92
3rd May 1894

2. The Collector is
further requested
to examine carefully
the present resources
and requirements
of the temple in
Consultation
with the temple



CR
15/12/06
2/1

Encls. not rcvd. with
no 3009/47 (1) 06.

1905-06

From M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To L. Anantasamiah Esq
Secretary to Government
Muzarai Department

Dated 12th December 1905.

Despatched

Received

General No. 616
No. 39

Enclosure No. 3.

Encloses a statement
shewing present income and
expenditure and another shewing
the expenditure proposed to be
incurred under the new
arrangement in connection
with the Sri Rameshwar Devas-
thanam Temple at Sirsi.

No. 616 En 3.
39

Deputy Commissioners Office.
Shimoga District
Dated 12th December 1905.

From,

M. S. Narayana Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To, L. Anantasami Rao Esquire
Secretary to Government
Muzarai Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that during my last visit to Sirthalli, I found on looking into the accounts of Sri Rameshwar devaru of that place, that the management was entrusted entirely to the Dharmadarsis and that expenditure was being incurred on a scale not sanctioned by Government. I beg to enclose a statement shewing present income and expenditure and another shewing the expenditure proposed to be incurred under the new management. In accordance with the new scale there is an expected income of Rs 1960 and

the

the expenditure proposed to be incurred is Rs 1440 having an annual surplus of Rs 520/- which will be reserved for meeting the cost of repairs to the temple and other extraordinary expenditure that may be found necessary from time to time.

2. I request early sanction to this arrangement to enable me to issue orders to credit all moneys received and disburse all items of expenditure on passed bills.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Area of Archives

(A) Shimoga District

Statement shewing the Annual receipts or income of Rameshwara Devaru Temple at Tirthalli Town of Tirthalli Taluq

Number	Particulars of receipts.	Amount			Total
I	Income from land.				
	1 Paddy to the extent of 145 Kandis at Re 1—— per Kandy	145	.	.	
	2 Rent from ryots in cash	297	11	3	442-11-3
II	1. Mānad cash Inam	46	11	10	46-11-10
III	Mohatarfa from Tirthalli Town excluding ¼ share of it payable to Tirthalli Municipality under the authority of Government Proceedings No 18646-7 L.F. 161-92 dated 3rd May 95	1170	.	.	1170——
IV	Interest on Government of India Rs notes and on sums deposited in Government savings Banks.	300	.	.	300——
V	Miscellaneous receipts to make up a round sum.	.	8	11	——8—11
	Grand Total				1960——

Shimoga
13th December 1905

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Shimoga District.

ment Shewing the present expenditure incurred in
mple of Sri Rameshwara Devaru at Tirthalli
own of Tirthalli Taluk.

Numbers	Particulars of expenditure	Amount		Total	
I	Establishment				
	1 Archak @ Rs 4/- a month	48	. .		
	2 Parichavak - do -	48	. .		
	3 Shanbhogue @ Rs 6/- - do -	72	. .		
	4 Peon @ Rs 5/- - do -	60	. .		
	5 Musicians (ವಾದ್ಯಗಾರರು) @ Rs 5/- a month in cash and 12 kandies of paddy valued at 12 Rs for a year	72	. .		
	6 Sweeper @ 2-8-- a month	30	. -	330	- -
II	Nitya Kaile (daily services)				
	1 Anvat Padi to Rameshwara Devaru and Amma navaru paid in kind of 70 kandies paddy valuing Rs	70	- -		
	2 - do - to Ganapathi Devaru in kind of 14 kandies paddy valuing Rs	14	. .		
	3 Lighting at Rs 4 a month	48	. .	132	- -
III	Extraordinary items Expenditure incurred for (1) Yugadi (2) Vasantha (3) His Highness the Maharaja's birth day. (4) Shravana -mas. (5) Gowri (6) Ganesh (7) Nava -ratra (8) Karteek mas (9) Rathotsava	572	. .	572	- -
IV	Jodi payable to Government	311	. .	311	- -
	Grand Total		. .	1345	- -

Manager

(C.) Shimoga District

Statement showing the proposed expenditure to be incurred annually at the temple of Sri Rameshwara Devaru at Sirthalli.

Numbers	Particulars of expenditure	Amount		Total
I	Establishment			
	1 Archak @ Rs 4 a month	48	. .	
	2 Parucharak — do —	48	. .	
	3 Shanbhog — do —	72	. .	168 — —
II	Upadhivantas			
	1 For Rigvéda @ Rs 3 a month	36	. .	
	2 For Yajurvéda — Do —	36	. .	
	3 For Samaveda — Do —	36	. .	
	4 For Shiva Sahasranama — Do —	36	. .	144 — —
III	Musicians &c.			
	1 Shruti @ Rs 3 a month	36	. .	
	2 Mokari — Do	36	. .	
	3 Drummer — Do —	36	. .	108 — —
	4 Peon @ Rs 6/- a month	72	. .	72 — —
	5 Sweeper @ Rs 4 — do —	48	. .	48 — —
IV	Shanbhog's Contingencies for a year (Paper, pen &c)	4	. .	4 — —
V	Nitya Kattle			
	1 Arwit Padi for Rameshwara Devaru and Anumanavaru in kind (paddy Kandas) (ಅರ್ವಿತ ಪಡಿ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮೇಶ್ವರ)	70	. .	
	2 — Do — for Ganapathi Devaru (Paddy Kandas)	14	. .	84 — —
	3 Lighting 2 lamps at Rs 3 a month	36	. .	36 — —
	4 For daily offering of 3 cocoanuts Camphor, plantains and other sundry articles of Puja to Rameshwara Devaru and Ganapathi Devaru at Rs 6/- a month	72	. .	72 -
	Carried over —			736 - -

VI Hechchukattu (occasional expenses)

I	Chaitra			
1	Yugadi Panchamrit abhiśeka	1	4 .	———
2	Śri Ramanavami —do—	1	4 —	2—8—
II	Vaiśakha			
1	Akshatritesya Panchamrit abhiśeka and Vasanta on that day	20	. .	20——
III	Jeshtha			
1	His Highness the Maharaja's birthday for Panchamrit abhiśeka, Naivedya and Procession.	5	. .	5——
IV	Shravana			
1	For 4 Mondays for Panchamrit abhiśeka @ Rs 1—4—0 per Monday.	5	. .	
2	Remuneration to 11 Upadhivantas @ 0—2—0 per head per day for 4 Mondays for performing Ekadasvara Rudrabhiśeka	5	8 .	
3	For Special Naivedya at Re 1/- a Monday	4	. .	14—8—
V	Bhadrapada			
1	For Gowri and Ganesh days on account of Special Naivedya at Re 1/- each day	2	. .	2——
VI	Ashwija			
1	Navarātri for Panchamrit @ Rs 1/4/- and for Kumkumārchana (కుంకుమార్చన) at 0 4 0 per day for 9 days	13	8 .	
2	For procession on Vijaya dashami day	6	8 .	20——
VII	Karteeka			
1	For Nitya decparadhana (నిత్య దీపారాధన)	35	. .	
2	For Panchamrit on 4 Mondays (@ 1-4- and for Naivedya at Re 1/- each Monday	9	. .	
3	Special decparadhana on last or new moon day (కడ చివరి అమావాస్య)			
	Carried over—	—	—	801

Ganga puja (దండ పూజ)	2 . .		
for Deeparadhana at Narasimha devaru, Venkata Ramana devaru and at Hanmantha devaru @ Re 1/- each devaru	3 . .		
(c) Oil 2 maunds @ 7-8-- a maund	15 . .		
(d) Viniyoga (వినియోగ ఖర్చు)	6 . .		
(e) Fire works (బాణా సంచా)	5 . .		
(f) For Special Naivedya at noon	6 . .		
(g) For Sundry expenses in connection with "Utsava"	6 . .	87 — . —	
VIII Margasirsha or Pushya			
For Panchamrit and Naivedya on Makara Sankramana day మకర సంక్రమణం.	2 . .	2 — . —	
IX Magha			
Shivaratri day			
1 Panchamrit	1 4 .		
2 Remuneration to Upadhivantas for performing Ekadasa Rudra Abhis'eka	1 6 .		
	1 . .		
3 For Special Naivedya			
4 For Special cocoanuts plantains, Camphor and other puja articles	2 6 .		
5 Utsava (ఉత్సవం)	5 . .		
6 Santarpana (సంతర్పణ)	5 . .	16 — . —	
Ratholsava:			
For construction of Ratha and other expenses connected therewith including procession Fee	150 . .		
For Santarpana or feeding on "Avabhrita" day (అవభృత దిన సంతర్పణ)	50 . .	200 — . —	
Carried over			1105

Brought Forward

General			
Jodi &c payable to Government	311	-	311
Contingencies such as purchase of clothes vessels and repairs of &c latter	24	.	24 —
Grand Total			1440-

Shimoga
13th December 1905

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist.

No. 3009 D/17 / 8

To

The Dy Commr
Shimoga District

This letter no 616/39
dated 12th December 1900
proposing the revision of
the scale of expenditure
in the Sri Rameswara
Devasthana Temple at Gudihal

Has the honour to
request that a com-
parative statement
showing the proposed
expenditure under each
item may be kindly
forwarded for the

~~[illegible]~~

stating the reason for the proposed increase or decrease ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ as the case may be under each item.

By order

16/1/06

Secretary

13/1/06

Auditor-General

3787
3-3-06

Replies
Ist
3/3/06

1905-06

From
M. S. Narayana Rao Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To
L. Anantasami Rao Esqre
Secretary to the Governm
Abkari Department

Dated
Despatched } 1st March 1906
Received

General No. 829
No. 60

Enclosure No. 1

With reference to his docket No 3009 dated 17th January last requesting a comparative statement showing the expenditure of Sri Rameswara Swami Temple at Hattiahalli, submits the above statement

No 829/60 Jud.

Deputy Commissioner's
Shimoga District
Dated 1st March 1906.

From

M. S. Narayana Rao Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

C. Anantasami Rao Esqre
Secretary to the Government
Mysore Department
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 3009 dated 17th January last requesting a comparative statement showing the present and proposed scale of expenditure of Sri Ra mashwara Devaru temple at Thirthahalli, I have the honour to submit the above statement and to state as follows:—

1. This is an important institution and there are no other services rendered beyond mere

worship of the deity. Four Upa-
-dhies have been newly provided
for at a cost of Rupees 144 and
an increase of pay has been
provided for the peon and sweeper
in order to secure better men.
The pay of musicians is also
raised by Rupees 36 and a sum
of Rupees 4/- provided for the con-
-tingencies of the Shrotriem. The
Sri also an increase of Rupees 21
annually is provided under the
head establishment

2. Under the head daily
Service Rupees 96 is provided for
an extra light and offering of
cocoanuts daily

3 - A sum of Rupees 17
is reduced under the head
Extraordinary" items..

4 The income of the
institutions for a year is Rup

9 0,

diture is Rupees 1345 the pro
posed is Rupees 1476 showing a
slight increase over the former
amount But a reserve has
been provided for to meet occa-
sional cost of repairs of the
temple and other items of ex-
penditure

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 3735. E. & C.

The accompanying Petition No. 3164 dated 18-1-06 ~~received at this Office from~~ presented by Durga Bhatta, Archa of the Sri Rameswara Deva temple at Thirthally Sugge certain improvements to the institution etc.

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy. Commr. Shimoga Dist ~~for favor of enquiry and report.~~ in continuation of this Office Memo dated 17th January 1906

By Order,

DBR

BANGALORE, 3 ? 1906. } *Secretary, Muzrai Department.*

No 372 2/3/06

Enclt to the petn.

Please put up ref[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

File

RS

18/4/06



From M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

L. Ananta Swamy Rao
Secretary to Government
Mulzarai Department

Dated

Despatched 23rd March 1906.

Received

General No. 6814
No. 64.

Enclosure No.

With reference to your No. 3735 dated the 3rd instant invites reference to this office No. 829/60 dated the 1st instant.

1906

From,

M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To, L. Anantasami Rao Esq
Secretary to Government
Muzarai Department.

Dated 23rd March 906

No. 684/64 His No. 3735 dated the 3rd. Instant, forwarding the petition of Durgga Bhatta, Archak of the Sri Rameshwara Devaru Temple at Sirthalli.

Has the honour to invite reference to this office No. 829/60 dated the 15th Instant, submitting a statement shewing the present and proposed scale of expenditure in the Sri Rameswara Devaru temple.

Illegible

For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

(Off as per Dewan's order)

Murzan

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter no $\frac{829}{60}$ dy 1st March 1906 from the Dy commr Shimoga District, submitting for sanction, a scale of expenditure in the Sri Rameswara devaru Temple at Theerthahalli

No 3t 354-2 dt/30.3.06

Order thereon:—

The Sri Rameswara devaru Temple at Theerthahalli is an important religious institution, enjoying an annual income of about Rs 1960 as shown in the appended statement A.

The Dy Commr reports, after personal inspection, that the management of the ~~Sri Rameswara devaru~~ temple ~~at Theerthahalli~~ is entire in the hands of the Dharmadarsis ~~and that~~ being ~~expenditure not incurred~~.

who incurs expenditure incurs
a scale not sanctioned
by Govt:

is an important one, the
Dy Commr: submits for sanction
a scale of Establishment
and other charges.

2. From the comparative
statement, submitted by
the Dy Commr:, it is observed
that the existing
total receipts
of the temple is Rs 1960 per
annum, while the proposed
expenditure is Rs 1476, leaving
a balance of Rs 484 for
repairs &c of the institution
The institution was inspected
by the Inspector Secretary on
the 6th January 1906. The proposed
scale was found necessary.

2. From the
comparative



comparative statement

B Invite attention to it is observed

that the expenditure

under the existing

scale is Rs 1345 and

that of the proposed scale is

Rs 1476, The excess

of Rs 131 is ~~recommended~~

to be made ~~from the~~ savings of the temple

~~from being affected~~

3. The Government

are pleased to sanction

the revised scale of

expenditure recommended

by the Dy Commr as

shown in the appended

statement B, at

a cost of Rs 1476 per

annum.

4. The Dy Commr

is requested to issue

necessary orders to disburse

Netzakatle and Establishment

charges every month

on ~~[illegible]~~ bills passed

by the Amildar as in

the case of the Muzrai

Institutions

endorsed with such grant-

Trustees ^ the amount-

fixed for Hotchkiss

also by him

being discussed during

the month in which the

allowances to accrue -

Orders should also

be issued to the Auditor

directing him to see

that all moneys

on behalf of

received into the Temple

remitted into the Bank and

are duly credited to

the funds of the Trust.

(Dennis order)

Suggest Secy

25/3/06

To

The Dy Comr Shimoga

Dc -

The Comptroller I-

Govt

Should

they this be

printed?

ks

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No 829-60, dated 1st March 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, submitting for sanction, a scale of expenditure in the Sri Rameswaradevaru temple at Tirthahalli.

No. 1351-2, DATED BANGALORE, 30TH MARCH 1906.

ORDER THEREON.—The Sri Rameswaradevaru temple at Tirthahalli is an important Muzrai Institution enjoying an annual income of about Rs. 1,960 as shown in the appended statement A. The Deputy Commissioner, Mr. M. S. Narayan Rao, reports after personal inspection, that the management of the temple is entirely in the hands of the dharmadarsis who incur expenditure according to a scale not sanctioned by Government. The Deputy Commissioner proposes to effect certain improvements in the services rendered in this important temple, and has submitted for approval a new scale of expenditure involving certain additions to, and alterations in, the existing scale.

2. From the comparative statement B hereto appended, it is observed that an annual expenditure under the existing scale is Rs. 1,345 and that proposed Rs. 1,476, as against an income of Rs. 1,960. The Government are pleased to sanction the revised scale of expenditure recommended as shown in the appended statement B.

3. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to issue necessary orders to disburse Nityakatle and Establishment charges every month on bills passed by the Amildar as in the case of the Muzrai Institutions endowed with cash grant, the amount fixed for Hetchukatle being also disbursed by him during the month in which the utsavams, etc., occur. Orders should also be issued to the Amildar directing him to see that all moneys received on behalf of the temple are duly remitted to the Treasury and credited to the funds of the Institution.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Department.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

A.—Statement showing the annual Receipts or Income of the Sri Rameswaradevaru temple at Tirthahalli town of Tirthahalli Taluk :—

No	Particulars of receipts	Amount	Total
		Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Income from land, paddy to the extent of 145 kandis at Re. 1 per kandi	145 0 0	442 11 3
	Rent from raiyats in cash	297 11 3	
2	Malnad Cash Inam	46 11 10	46 11 10
3	Mohatarfa from Tirthahalli town excluding quarter share of it payable to Tirthahalli Municipality under the authority of Government Proceedings No 18646 7—L F 161-92, dated 3rd May 1895 ...	1,170 0 0	1170 0 0
4	Interest on Government of India Pro Notes and on sums deposited in Government Savings Bank ...	300 0 0	300 0 0
5	Miscellaneous receipts to make up a round sum ...	0 8 11	0 8 11
	Grand Total ...	...	1960 0 0

Muzrai, dated 30th March 1906.

B.—Statement showing the annual expenditure in the temple:—

Establishment—		Rs
Archak		48
Paricharak		48
Shanbhog		72
Upadhivants—		
One man for Rigveda		36
One for Yujurveda		36
One for Samaveda		36
One for Siva Sahasranama		36
One peon at Rs. 6		72
One sweeper at Rs 4		48
Musicians—		
Sruti		36
Mohari		36
Drummer		36
Shanbhog's contingent Rs. 4 per year		4
Nityakatle—		
Amrut paddy for three deities Rameswara, Ammanavaru and Ganesha in paddy		84
Two lights at Rs 3 each per mensem		72
Cocoanuts and camphor, etc, daily		72
Hetchukatle		369
Contingencies		24
Jodi payable to Government		311
Total		1,476 per annum

Exd.—C P

1905-06

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30th March 1906

No. 4351-2
Muz. F. 2 of 189

Fixing a scale of expenditure in t
Sri Rameswaradevaru temple at Tirth
halli.

GPB—2-5-06—350